



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,586	03/25/2004	Joseph Won John	AUS920040008US1	7114
34533	7590	06/12/2008	EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL CORP (BLF)			SHIU, HO T	
c/o BIGGERS & OHANIAN, LLP				
P.O. BOX 1469			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78767-1469			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/809,586	Applicant(s) JOHN, JOSEPH WON	
	Examiner HO SHIU	Art Unit 2157	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 March 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-17 and 19-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-17 and 19-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-17, 19-24 are pending in this application. Claims 2, 10, and 18 have been cancelled by amendment filed on 03/18/2008

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. **Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-18, 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weatherby et al. (Pub # US 20004/0054741 A1, hereinafter Weatherby).**

4. With respect to claim 1, Weatherby discloses a method for establishing trust in an email client, the method comprising:
accepting in an email server a data communications connection from an email client ([0029], lines 2-3), wherein the connection includes the email client's network address ([0030], lines 1-4);
determining from a stored list of trusted network addresses whether the email client is trusted according to the email client's network address ([0033], lines 1-6);

if the email client is not trusted according to the email client's network address, receiving authentication data from the email client and determining whether the email client is trusted according to the authentication data ([0015], lines 1-9); and
if the email client is not trusted according to the email client's network address and the email client is not trusted according to the authentication data, receiving a sender domain name for an email message from the email client and determining whether the email client is trusted according to the sender domain name ([0030], lines 1-7), wherein determining whether the email client is trusted according to the sender domain name further comprises requesting from a domain name service a resource record of a type that lists for a sender domain network addresses of email exchanges that are authorized to act as outbound email exchanges for the sender domain ([0030], lines 1-7, [0041], lines 10-18, [0029], lines 1-13, [0033], lines 1-6).

5. With respect to claim 4, Weatherby discloses the email client is trusted according to the authentication data, and the method further comprises storing the email client's network address in association with a trust time limit in the list of trusted network addresses ([0040], lines 1-7).

6. With respect to claim 5, Weatherby discloses accepting in the email server a connection from an email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0029], lines 2-3) according to a protocol that includes client authentication ([0031], lines 1-3, wherein

the connection includes the network address of the email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0029], lines 4-6, lines 10-13);
authenticating the email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0030], lines 1-4, [0031], lines 1-3);
delivering the email message to the email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0030], lines 7-11); and
storing the network address of the email client requesting delivery of an email message in association with a trust time limit in the list of trusted network addresses ([0040], lines 1-7).

7. With respect to claim 6, Weatherby discloses the email client is an email exchange that accepts outbound email messages only from trusted senders ([0033], lines 1-6).

8. With respect to claim 7, Weatherby discloses receiving a sender domain name further comprises receiving the sender domain name in an SMTP MAILFROM message ([0029], lines 4-6).

9. With respect to claim 8, Weatherby discloses the email client is not trusted according to the email client's network address, the email client is not trusted according to the authentication, the email client is not trusted according to the sender domain

name, and the method further comprises sending an error message to the email client and closing the connection ([0030], lines 7-9, [0031], lines 1-3, lines 7-8).

10. With respect to claim 9, Weatherby discloses a system for establishing trust in an email client, the system comprising:

means for accepting in an email server a data communications connection from an email client ([0029], lines 2-3), wherein the connection includes the email client's network address ([0030], lines 1-4);

means for determining from a stored list of trusted network addresses whether the email client is trusted according to the email client's network address ([0033], lines 1-6);

means for receiving authentication data from the email client and means for determining whether the email client is trusted according to the authentication data if the email client is not trusted according to the email client's network address ([0015], lines 1-9); and

means for receiving a sender domain name for an email message from the email client and means for determining whether the email client is trusted according to the sender domain name if the email client is not trusted according to the email client's network

address and the email client is not trusted according to the authentication data ([0030],

lines 1-7), wherein determining whether the email client is trusted according to the

sender domain name further comprises requesting from a domain name service a

resource record of a type that lists for a sender domain network addresses of email

exchanges that are authorized to act as outbound email exchanges for the sender

domain ([0030], lines 1-7, [0041], lines 10-18, [0029], lines 1-13, [0033], lines 1-6).

11. With respect to claim 12, Weatherby discloses the email client is trusted according to the authentication data, and the system further comprises means for storing the email client's network address in association with a trust time limit in the list of trusted network addresses ([0040], lines 1-7).

12. With respect to claim 13, Weatherby discloses means for accepting in the email server a connection from an email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0029], lines 2-3) according to a protocol that includes client authentication ([0031], lines 1-3, wherein the connection includes the network address of the email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0029], lines 4-6, lines 10-13); means for authenticating the email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0030], lines 1-4, [0031], lines 1-3); means for delivering the email message to the email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0030], lines 7-11); and means for storing the network address of the email client requesting delivery of an email message in association with a trust time limit in the list of trusted network addresses ([0040], lines 1-7).

13. With respect to claim 14, Weatherby discloses the email client is an email exchange that accepts outbound email messages only from trusted senders ([0033], lines 1-6).

14. With respect to claim 15, Weatherby discloses means for receiving a sender domain name further comprises means for receiving the sender domain name in an SMTP MAILFROM message ([0029], lines 4-6).

15. With respect to claim 16, Weatherby discloses means for sending an error message to the email client and means for closing the connection if the email client is not trusted according to the email client's network address, the email client is not trusted according to the authentication, and the email client is not trusted according to the sender domain name ([0030], lines 7-9, [0031], lines 1-3, lines 7-8).

16. With respect to claim 17, Weatherby discloses a computer program product for establishing trust in an email client, the computer program product comprising: means, recorded on the recording medium ([0059], lines 9-14), for accepting in an email server a data communications connection from an email client ([0029], lines 2-3), wherein the connection includes the email client's network address ([0030], lines 1-4); means, recorded on the recording medium ([0059], lines 9-14), for determining from a stored list of trusted network addresses whether the email client is trusted according to the email client's network address ([0033] lines 1-6); means, recorded on the recording medium ([0059], lines 9-14), for receiving authentication data from the email client and means, recorded on the recording medium, for determining whether the email client is trusted according to the

authentication data if the email client is not trusted according to the email client's network address ([0015], lines 1-7); and means, recorded on the recording medium ([0059], lines 9-14), for receiving a sender domain name for an email message from the email client and means, recorded on the recording medium, for determining whether the email client is trusted according to the sender domain name if the email client is not trusted according to the email client's network address and the email client is not trusted according to the authentication data ([0030], lines 1-7), wherein determining whether the email client is trusted according to the sender domain name further comprises requesting from a domain name service a resource record of a type that lists for a sender domain network addresses of email exchanges that are authorized to act as outbound email exchanges for the sender domain ([0030], lines 1-7, [0041], lines 10-18, [0029], lines 1-13, [0033], lines 1-6).

17. With respect to claim 20, Weatherby discloses the email client is trusted according to the authentication data, and the computer program product further comprises means, recorded on the recording medium , for storing the email client's network address in association with a trust time limit in the list of trusted network addresses ([0040], lines 1-7).

18. With respect to claim 21, Weatherby discloses means, recorded on the recording medium, for accepting in the email server a connection from an email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0029], lines 2-3) according to a protocol that includes

Art Unit: 2157

client authentication ([0031], lines 1-3), wherein the connection includes the network address of the email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0029], lines 4-6, lines 10-13);

means, recorded on the recording medium, for authenticating the email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0030], lines 1-4, [0031], lines 1-3);

means, recorded on the recording medium, for delivering the email message to the email client requesting delivery of an email message ([0030], lines 7-11); and

means, recorded on the recording medium, for storing the network address of the email client requesting delivery of an email message in association with a trust time limit in the list of trusted network addresses ([0040], lines 1-7).

19. With respect to claim 22, Weatherby discloses the email client is an email exchange that accepts outbound email messages only from trusted senders ([0033], lines 1-6).

20. With respect to claim 23, Weatherby discloses means, recorded on the recording medium, for receiving a sender domain name further comprises means, recorded on the recording medium, for receiving the sender domain name in an SMTP MAILFROM message ([0029], lines 4-6).

21. With respect to claim 24, Weatherby discloses means, recorded on the recording medium, for sending an error message to the email client and means, recorded on the

recording medium, for closing the connection if the email client is not trusted according to the email client's network address, the email client is not trusted according to the authentication, and the email client is not trusted according to the sender domain name ([003], lines 7-9, [0031], lines 1-3, lines 7-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

22. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

23. Claims 3, 11, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weatherby as applied to claims 1, 9, and 17 in view of Lalonde et al. (US Pub # 2004/0068542 A1, hereinafter Lalonde).

24. With respect to claims 3, 11, and 19, Weatherby discloses the method step, means for, and computer program product recorded on the recording medium for determining whether the e-mail client is trusted according to the sender domain name further comprises determining whether a domain name service resource record associates the email client's network address with the sender domain name and lists for a sender domain network addresses of email exchanges that are authorized to act as outbound email exchanges for the sender domain ([0030], lines 1-7, [0041], lines 10-18)

but does not disclose the DNS resource record being of a type that lists for a sender domain network addresses of email exchanges that are authorized to act as outbound email exchanges for the sender domain.

In the same field of endeavor, Lalonde discloses the DNS resource record being of a type that lists for a sender domain network addresses of email exchanges that are authorized to act as outbound email exchanges for the sender domain ([0039], lines 8-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Weatherby with the teachings of Lalonde in order to extract the IP address from the server domain name and vice versa.

Response to Arguments

25. Applicant's arguments, with regards to the amendment of claim 1 which was amended by cancelling claim 2 and incorporating the limitation of claim 2 into claim 1, filed 03/18/2008 have been fully considered by they are not persuasive.

26. On page 13 of the Applicant's Response, applicants state that Weatherby "Does not disclose requesting from a domain name service a resource record of a type that lists for a send domain network address of email exchanges that are authorize to act as outbound email exchanges for the sender domain".

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments because Weatherby discloses a system that is able to determine the sender's IP address by the e-mail address ([0029]) which compares to a system-wide list of E-mail address which are to be blocked and discards the messaged sent from a blocked E-mail address ([0030]), if the e-mail has not been blocked by the system-wide clock list or the sender's block list, the sender's E-mail address is compared to the recipient's verified list ([0033] as cited in claim 1 in first office action). A domain name service translates human-readable computer hostnames into IP addresses. When an e-mail is received, the IP address is found (requesting domain name service of a list of certain type of record, i.e. a list of blocked/verified E-mail addresses) which then is compared to a system of blocked E-mail addresses, if it is not blocked, it compares it to the verified list (record of lists of sender domain network addresses authorized to act as outbound email exchanges for the sender domain).

27. Therefore, in view of the above reasons, Examiner maintains rejections.

Conclusion

28. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HO SHIU whose telephone number is (571)270-3810. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (8:30am - 4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,586
Art Unit: 2157

Page 14

June 07, 2008
HTS

Ho Ting Shiu
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2157

/Ario Etienne/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2157